FDA Approval Information¹

Ceftazidime/Avibactam (Avycaz®)

National Drug Monograph December 2015

VA Pharmacy Benefits Management Services, Medical Advisory Panel, and VISN Pharmacist Executives

The purpose of VA PBM Services drug monographs is to provide a focused drug review for making formulary decisions. Updates will be made when new clinical data warrant additional formulary discussion. Documents will be placed in the Archive section when the information is deemed to be no longer current.

Description/Mechanism of Ceftazidime/avibactam is a combination of a third generation cephalosporin and a novel beta-lactamase inhibitor. Ceftazidime binds to penicillin-binding Action proteins, thereby inhibiting bacterial cell wall synthesis. Avibactam protects ceftazidime from degradation by inhibiting a broader range of beta-lactamases than currently available beta-lactamase inhibitors. It exhibits in vitro activity against many multi-resistant gram negative organisms such as Enterobacteriaceae and many strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, including those expressing certain types of extended spectrum beta-lactamases, serine beta-lactamases and carbapenemases (e.g., KPC, AmpC and certain oxacillinases (OXA)). Ceftazidime/avibactam is not active against metallo-ßlactamases or gram negative organisms that overexpress efflux pumps or have porin mutations. . Complicated intraabdominal infections (cIAI), used in combination with Indication(s) Under Review in this document (may include metronidazole off label) Complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI), including pyelonephritis According to the prescribing information, ceftazidime/avibactam should be reserved for use in patients who have limited or no alternative treatment options since it was approved based upon limited clinical safety and efficacy data. Dosage Form(s) Under Avycaz® 2.5 g (ceftazidime 2 g + avibactam 0.5 g) sterile powder for **Review** constitution **REMS** REMS No REMS Postmarketing Requirements See Other Considerations for additional REMS information Pregnancy Category B **Pregnancy Executive Summary** Efficacy^{2, 4, 6} Ceftazidime/avibactam) was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) under the designation of a Qualified Infectious Disease Product (QIDP). This approval was primarily based upon previous safety and efficacy data available for ceftazidime as well as two Phase 2 clinical trials evaluating ceftazidime/avibactam in patients with either complicated intraabdominal infections (cIAI) or complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI), including pyelonephritis. The Phase 2 trials were not designed or powered to test for non-inferiority or superiority. The FDA also reviewed preliminary data from available phase 3 clinical trials (i.e., ceftazidime resistant cIAI and cUTI clinical trial as well as a cIAI trial) during their review process. The Phase 2 cIAI trial evaluated clinical cure rates in patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole compared to meropenem. Clinical cure was achieved in 82% and 89% of patients, respectively. The Phase 2 cUTI trial evaluated clinical and microbiologic response in patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam compared to imipenem/cilastatin. Clinical cure

Updated Sep 2015

Safety^{4,5,6}

DRAFT

Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet

•

Portions of these documents or records, or information contained herein, which resulted from Pharmacy Benefits Management Drug Usage Evaluation and Utilization Review activities, may be considered confidential and privileged under the provisions of 38 U.S.C. 5705 and its implementing regulations. In such cases, this material shall not be disclosed to anyone without authorization as provided for by that law or its regulations. The statute provides for fines up to \$20,000 for unauthorized disclosure.

and microbiologic eradication rates were similar between groups.

Common adverse events in the two Phase 2 trials included vomiting, nausea,

- constipation, abdominal pain, dizziness, anxiety, increased alkaline phosphatase, and increased alanine aminotransferase.
- The FDA reviewed preliminary results of the Phase 3 cIAI at the time of approval which revealed increased mortality and lower clinical outcomes in patients with CrCl 30-50 ml/min. Based on these results, the FDA recommended the original dosing regimen used in the Phase 3 trial be revised and highlighted the importance of frequent monitoring of CrCl. The approved package insert reflects an updated dosing regimen and recommends CrCl be monitored at least daily with doses adjusted accordingly, especially in patients with changing renal function.

Projected Place in Therapy^{1,2}

- Ceftazidime/avibactam is approved for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections (in combination with metronidazole) and complicated urinary tract infections, including pyelonephritis in patients who have limited or no alternative options.
- Ceftazidime/avibactam exhibits in vitro activity against many multi-resistant gram
 negative organisms such as *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*,
 including those expressing certain types of extended spectrum beta-lactamases,
 serine beta-lactamases and carbapenemases (e.g., KPC, AmpC and certain
 oxacillinases (OXA)). Of note, avibactam protects ceftazidime from degradation
 by inhibiting a broader range of beta-lactamases than currently available betalactamase inhibitors.
- Ceftazidime/avibactam has a role in the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant gram negative organisms in patients with limited therapeutic options. Susceptibility testing is highly recommended in these cases.

Background^{1,3}

Purpose for review

Recent FDA approval: February 2015

Issues to be determined:

- ✓ Evidence of need
- ✓ Does ceftazidime/avibactam offer advantages over current VANF agents?
- ✓ What safety issues need to be considered?

Other therapeutic options

Formulary Alternatives	Other Considerations
Complicated Intraabdominal Infections:	
Monotherapy: cefoxitin, ertapenem, moxifloxacin	Based on 2010 IDSA Intraabdominal Infection guidelines for community-acquired infections with mild to moderate severity of disease
Combination: cefazolin, cefuroxime, cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin AND metronidazole	
Monotherapy: piperacillin/tazobactam, imipenem/cilastatin, meropenem	Based on 2010 IDSA Intraabdominal Infection guidelines for community-acquired infections with high risk/severity of disease
Combination: ceftazidime, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin AND metronidazole	
Meropenem, imipenem/cilstatin, piperacillin/tazobactam, ceftazidime or cefepime with metronidazole, aminoglycosides, vancomycin	Based on 2010 IDSA Intraabdominal Infection guidelines for health care-associated infections . Of note, choice of empiric treatment can be guided by common organisms encountered at local institutions.
Complicated Urinary Tract Infections:	
Ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, cefepime, piperacillin/tazobactam, ertapenem, imipenem/cilastatin, meropenem, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin	Agents for cUTI broadly represent agents for the treatment of cIAI, as above (with the exception of moxifloxacin). Alternatives for cUTI caused by multidrug resistant (MDR) organisms may include aminoglycosides or polymyxins.

Updated Sep 2015

DRAFT

		D
	Other alternatives: polymyxin B	Due to side effect profile, often considered as last line alternative for multi-drug resistant organisms
	Non-formulary Alternative	Other Considerations
	Ceftolozane/tazobactam (Zerbaxa®)	Approved by the FDA in March 2015 for the treatment of Complicated Intraabdominal Infections (in combination with metronidazole) and Complicated Urinary Tract Infections Combination of an antipseudomonal cephalosporin and a beta-lactamase inhibitor with variable activity against many penicillinases and cephalosporinases Demonstrates activity against many gramnegative organisms, including certain types of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae and multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa
•	Colistin	Due to side effect profile, often considered as last
		line alternative for multi-drug resistant organisms

Efficacy (FDA Approved Indications)

Literature Search Summary

A literature search was performed on PubMed/Medline (1966 to October 2015) using the search terms "ceftazidime avibactam" and "Avycaz". The search was limited to studies performed in human and published in the English language. Reference lists of review articles were searched for relevant clinical trials. All randomized controlled trials published in peerreviewed journals were included. This search strategy revealed two Phase 2 trials, one for cIAI and one for cUTI. Three phase 3 trials have also been completed. The phase 3 trial in cIAI and the phase 3 trial in resistant pathogens are available in abstract format while the phase 3 trial in cUTI is only available via a press release (not summarized) below.

Review of Efficacy

The FDA approval of ceftazidime/avibactam for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections (cIAI) and complicated urinary tract infects (cUTI) was primarily based on two Phase 2 trials, one for each indication, and previous clinical data assessing the safety and efficacy of ceftazidime alone. Approval was granted despite lack of Phase 3 data due to the need for antimicrobial agents for patients with few to none therapeutic options. However, given the limited data available at the time of approval, the prescribing information states that ceftazidime/avibactam should be used in patients with limited or no alternative treatment options. Results of Phase 3 trials evaluating ceftazidime/avibactam for cIAI and resistant pathogens are summarized below (only available as abstracts).

Complicated intraabdominal infection:

Phase 2 Trial⁴: Comparative study of the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections in hospitalized adults: results of a randomized, doubleblind, phase II trial.

The Phase 2 trial for cIAI utilized a prospective, randomized, double-blind, active control design. Patients were enrolled in the study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria defined in Table 1. Randomization occurred in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by investigation site/country and baseline severity of disease (APACHE II score < 10 and 10-25), to either ceftazidime/avibactam 2000 mg/500mg IV every 8 hours plus metronidazole 500 mg IV every 8 hours or meropenem 1000 mg IV every 8 hours plus matching saline placebo IV every 8 hours for 5 to 14 days. Per the FDA review, the primary efficacy endpoint was clinical response in the microbiological modified intention treatment (mMITT) population at the test-of-cure (TOC) visit. This population was defined as all subjects who received at least 1 dose of study drug and had at least one pathogen identified at study entry. Of note, the investigators also evaluated the primary efficacy endpoint in the microbiologically evaluable (ME) population (data not summarized below). Clinical response was classified as clinical cure, failure or indeterminate. Cure was defined as complete or significant resolution of signs and symptoms of infection and no requirement of additional antibiotics or surgical interventions per investigator assessments. Failure was defined as death related to cIAI, persistent/recurrent infection, post-surgical wound infections, treatment with additional antibiotics for ongoing symptoms. Indeterminate was defined as study data not available for evaluation of efficacy (ex: death occurred where

Updated Sep 2015
Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet

DRAFT

index infection was noncontributory or extenuating circumstances). Assessment of TOC was performed 2 weeks after the last dose of study antibiotic. A key secondary endpoint was microbiological response defined as the eradication of the baseline pathogen.

Table 1: Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Phase 2 cIAI Trial

		Inclusio

Age 18 - 90

Complicated intraabdominal infection (requiring surgical intervention and antibiotics) defined as one of the following:

- Cholecystitis (with rupture or perforation) or progression beyond the gall bladder
- Diverticular disease with perforation or abscess
- Appendiceal perforation or periappendiceal abscess
- Acute gastric or duodenal perforation (if operated on >24 hours after perforation)
- Traumatic perforation of the intestine (if operated on >12 hours <u>after</u> perforation)
- Secondary peritonitis or intraabdominal abscess with evidence of intraperitoneal involvement

Pre-study cultures positive for microorganisms susceptible to study interventions

Confirmation of cIAI

- Intra/post operatively via visual inspection and specimen culture
- Pre-operatively via clinical examination and confirmed during surgical intervention within 24 hours

Exclusions

Any of the following intraabdominal infections or processes

- Abdominal wall abscess
- Small bowel obstruction or ischemic bowel without perforation
- Perinephric infections or infection of the female genital tract

Receipt of systemic antibiotic within 72 hours of study therapy or need for systemic antibiotics concurrently with study antibiotics

Concurrent infection or infections caused by pathogens known to be resistant to study antibiotics

Sepsis with shock unresponsive to intravenous fluid

APACHE II score > 25

Anticipated survival less than the study period

Severe renal impairment (CrCl < 50 ml/min) or hepatic disease

Immunocompromised or severe anemia, neutropenia, or thrombocytopenia

Table 2: Baseline Characteristics of the Safety Population for Phase 2 cIAI Trial

Characteristic	Ceftazidime/avibactam +	Meropenem
	Metronidazole	(n=102)
	(n=101)	
Age		
<65	92 (91.1%)	87 (85.3%)
65-75	7 (6.9%)	12 (11.8%)
75-90	2 (2.0%)	3 (2.9%)
Gender		
Female	31 (30.7%)	21 (20.6%)
Male	70 (69.3%)	81 (79.4%)
APACHE II		
≤ 10	84 (83.2%)	85 (83.3%)
$>10 \text{ but } \le 25$	17 (16.8%)	17 (16.7%)
Site of Infection		
Appendix	49 (48.5%)	47 (46.1%)
Stomach/duodenum	29 (28.7%)	23 (22.5%)
Colon	12 (11.9%)	6 (5.9%)
Small bowel	4 (4.0%)	12 (12.7%)
Gall Bladder	5 (5.0%)	9 (8.8%)
Parenchymal (liver)	2 (2.0%)	3 (2.9%)

Updated Sep 2015

DRAFT

Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet

4

Other	0	2 (2.0%)
Infection process		
Peritonitis	84 (83.2%)	89 (87.3%)
Visceral perforation	44 (43.6%)	40 (39.2%)
Abscess	26 (25.7%)	28 (27.5%)
Surgical procedure		
Laparotomy	91 (90.1%)	91 (89.2%)
Laparoscope	9 (8.9%)	9 (8.8%)
Percutaneous drainage	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.0%)
Prior antibiotic therapy	53 (52.5%)	54 (52/9%)

Safety population: all subjects who received at least one dose of study drug

Table 3: Efficacy Endpoint in Phase 2 cIAI Trial

Primary efficacy endpoint in mMITT population at TOC visit	Ceftazidime/avibactam <u>plus</u> metronidazole (n=85)	Meropenem (n=89)	Difference (95% CI)
Cure	70 (82.4%)	79 (88.8%)	-6.4 (-18.0, 5.2)
Failure	7 (8.2%)	5 (5.6%)	NA
Indeterminate	8 (9.4%)	5 (5.6%)	NA

Overall Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Refer to Appendix A; pivotal clinical trial sponsored by Actavis)

Table 4: Clinical Response in Subjects with Ceftazidime-Non-susceptible Pathogens

Clinical response	Ceftazidime/avibactam <u>plus</u> metronidazole (n=30)	Meropenem (n=23)	Difference (95% CI)
Cure	27 (90.0%)	19 (82.6%)	7.4 (-15.3, 30.0)
Failure/indeterminate	3 (10.0%)	4 (17.4%)	NA

Phase 3 Trial⁵: Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infection - results from a phase 3 program

Two phase 3 trials have been conducted, RECLAIM 1 and RECLAIM 2; however, results have only been published in abstract form to date. Data from both trials were combined and analyzed as a single dataset. The Phase 3 trials utilized a prospective, randomized, multicenter, double-blind design. Randomization occurred in a 1:1 ratio to either ceftazidime/avibactam 2000mg/500mg every 8 hours and metronidazole 500mg every 8 hours or meropenem 1000mg every 8 hours. Ceftazidime/avibactam infusion was prolonged to 2 hours in the Phase 3 trial compared to 30 mins in the Phase 2 trial. The primary efficacy endpoint was clinical response, as defined in the Phase 2 study, at the TOC visit. TOC was assessed 28-35 days after randomization (a longer time period compared to the Phase 2 trial) in the mMITT population (patients meeting disease criteria with at least 1 pathogen identified). Baseline characteristics between study groups were similar. A total of 1066 patients were randomized (532 to ceftazidime/avibactam and 534 to meropenem) over a 2 year time period. Clinical cure was achieved in 81.6% of patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam and 85.1% of patients receiving meropenem with a 95% CI of -2.4 (-6.90, 2.10). Non-inferiority of ceftazidime/avibactam compared to meropenem was demonstrated.

Of note, the Phase 3 trial revealed increased mortality in patients with moderate renal impairment (CrCl 30-50 ml/min) in those patients who received ceftazidime/avibactam compared to meropenem, 25.8% and 8.6% respectively. These differences may be due to insufficient dosing. In patients, with normal or mild renal impairment, these differences in mortality were not seen. Of note, the Phase 2 trials excluded patients with CrCl less than 50 ml/min. Dose adjustments have since been revised and are reflected in the current package insert. Additionally, to ensure proper dosing in renal impairment, approved labeling recommends CrCl be monitored daily and doses adjusted as needed.

Complicated urinary tract infection

Phase 2 Trial⁶: Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam versus imipenem-cilastatin in the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections, including acute pyelonephritis, in hospitalized adults: results of a prospective, investigator blinded, randomized study.

The Phase 2 trial for cUTI utilized a prospective, randomized, double-blind, active control design. Patients were enrolled in the study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria defined in Table 5. Randomization occurred in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by infection type, to either ceftazidime/avibactam 500 mg/125mg IV every 8 hours or imipenem/cilastatin 500 mg IV every 6 hours for 7 to 14 days. Switch to oral antibiotics (ciprofloxacin 500mg PO Q12h) was permitted after at least 4 days of study therapy based on pre-specified criteria. Per the FDA review, the primary efficacy endpoint was favorable microbiological response in the microbiological modified intention treatment (mMITT) population at the test-of-cure visit (5-9 days after last dose of study antibiotic). This population was defined as all subjects who received at least 1 dose of study drug and had positive pre-treatment urine cultures (>10⁵ CFU/mL). Of note, the investigators also evaluated the primary efficacy endpoint in the microbiologically evaluable population (results not summarized below). A favorable microbiological response was defined as eradication of the pathogen from the urine (<10⁴ CFU/mL) and absence of the pathogen in the blood.

Table 5: Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Phase 2 cUTI Trial

	Inclusions
Age 18 - 90	

Acute pyelonephritis (AP) or complicated urinary tract infection due to gram negative pathogens

- AP fever, flank pain pyuria, and positive urine culture
- Sign and symptoms of UTI and positive urine culture

Required 7-14 days of parenteral therapy, per discretion of investigator

If female, evidence of one or more urological abnormalities or function/anatomical abnormalities of the urinary tract

Exclusions

Receipt of systemic antibiotic within the 48 hours prior to admission urine culture (or 1 or more doses after urine culture)

Infections caused by pathogens known to be resistant to study antibiotics

Presence of ileal loops, vesicoureteral reflux, complete obstruction or the urinary tract, perinephric or intrearenal abscess, fungal UTI

Permanent indwelling catheter or instrumentation (unless removed within 48 hours of study entry)

Pregnant or breastfeeding women

Anticipated survival less than the study period

History of hypersensitivity to the study medication

Estimated creatinine clearance less than 70 ml/min, hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, or renal transplant

Table 6: Baseline Characteristics of the Safety Population for Phase 2 cUTI Trial

Characteristic	Ceftazidime/avibactam	Imipenem/cilastatin	
	(n=68)	(n=67)	
Age			
<65	57 (83.8%)	55(82.1%)	
65-75	5 (7.4%)	2 (3.0%)	
75-90	6 (8.8%)	10 (14.9%)	
Gender			
Female	51 (75.0%)	49 (73.1%)	
Male	17 (25.0%)	18 (26.9%)	
Type of infections			
Acute pyelonephritis	44 (64.7%)	41 (61.2%)	
Other cUTI	24 (35.3%)	26 (38/8%)	

Table 7: Efficacy Endpoints in Phase 2 cUTI Trial at TOC Visit

Microbiological response	Ceftazidime/avibactam	Imipenem/cilastatin	Difference (95% CI)
rates in mMITT	(n = 46)	(n = 49)	

Updated Sep 2015 Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet DRAFT

population (primary			
endpoint)			
Eradication ¹	31 (67.4%)	31 (63.3%)	4.1 (-16.1, 23.8)
Persistence ²	10 (21.7%)	14 (28.6%)	NA
Indeterminate ³	5 (10.9%)	4 (8.2%)	NA
Clinical response in	Ceftazidime/avibactam	Imipenem/cilastatin	Difference (95% CI)
mMITT population	(n=46)	(n=49)	
Cure ⁴	37 (80.4%)	36 (73.5%)	7.0 (-10.4, 23.9)
Failure ⁵	5 (10.9%)	9 (18.4%)	NA
Indeterminate ³	4 (8.7%)	4 (8.2%)	NA

Overall Quality of Evidence: Moderate (Refer to Appendix A; pivotal clinical trial sponsored by Actavis)

Table 8: Clinical Response in Subjects with Ceftazidime Non-susceptible Pathogens

Clinical response	Ceftazidime/avibactam	Imipenem/cilastatin	Difference (95% CI)
	(n=14)	(n = 18)	
Clinical Cure	11 (78.6%)	10 (55.6%)	23.0 (-27.4, 41.3)
Clinical Failure	2 (14.3%)	5 (27.8%)	NA
Indeterminate	1 (7.1%)	3 (16.7%)	NA

Ceftazidime-Resistant Infections⁷

Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam and best available therapy in the treatment of ceftazidime-resistant infections – results from a phase 3 study

A phase 3 trial, the REPRISE trial, was conducted to examine the safety and efficacy of ceftazidime/avibactam in patients with serious ceftazidime-resistance gram negative infections. The phase 3 trial utilized a prospective, international, randomized, open-label design. Patients with a cIAI or cUTI caused by ceftazidime-resistant pathogens were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either ceftazidime/avibactam 2000mg/500mg every 8 hours (plus metronidazole for cIAIs) or best available therapy determined by the study investigator. The primary efficacy endpoint was clinical cure at the TOC visit, 7 to 10 days after the end of treatment. Results indicated that clinical cure rates were similar between both treatment groups. Detailed results are only available in abstract form, and have not been published.

Table 8: Clinical response rates at TOC for ceftazidime resistant infections

	Ceftazidime/avibactam		Best Available Therapy		
	N(%)	95% CI	N(%)	95% CI	
Overall clinical cure	140/154 (90.9)	85.6,94.7	135/148 (91.2)	85.9, 95.0	
Clinical cure for cUTI	132/144 (91.7)	86.3, 95.4	129/137 (94.2)	89.3, 97.2	
Clinical cure for cIAI	8/10 (80)	47.9, 95.6	6/11 (54.5)	27.0, 80.0	

Summary:

In the Phase 2 trial evaluating ceftazidime/avibactam for cIAI, 85 patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole and 89 patients receiving meropenem were analyzed for the primary efficacy endpoint. The majority of patients in both groups were males, <65 years of age, and had an APACHE II score of \le 10. Clinical cure rates in both groups were similar. Of note, this trial was not designed or powered to demonstrate non-inferiority. Non-inferiority was demonstrated in a Phase 3 trial, through results are only available in poster form. The Phase 3 trial also revealed increased mortality in patients with renal impairment (CrCl 30-50 ml/min), prompting recommendations for close monitoring of renal function and an increase in the dose in the approved package label.

In the Phase 2 trial evaluating ceftazidime/avibactam for cUTI, 46 patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam and 49 patients receiving imipenem/cilastatin were analyzed for the primary efficacy endpoint. The majority of patients in both groups were females, <65 years of age. Clinical cure and microbiological eradication rates in both groups were similar. Of note, this trial

Updated Sep 2015 DRAFT

¹Eradication – eradication of uropathogen

²Persistance – persistence of uropathogen

³Indeterminate – loss to follow-up; unable to determine clinical/microbiologic response

⁴Cure – resolution of all or most signs and symptoms; no additional study drug required

⁵Failure – persistence of signs and symptoms, need for additional study drug

was not was not designed or powered to demonstrate non-inferiority. A Phase 3 trial was completed comparing ceftazidime/avibactam to doripenem; however, results are not yet available, except through press releases.

Potential Off-Label Use⁸

- Infections caused by multi-drug resistant gram-negative organisms at other sites (other than cUTI and cIAI)
 - Per clinicaltrials.gov, the pharmaceutical company has a registered Phase 3 clinical trial for ventilatorassociated pneumonia and nosocomial pneumonia
- Infections caused by gram-negative bacteria for which there are other effective and tolerable treatment options.

Safetv^{1,2}

(for more detailed information refer to the product package insert)

·	Comments	
Boxed Warning	• None	
Contraindications	 Known serious hypersensitivity to the components of ceftazidime/avibacta avibactam-containing products, or other members of the cephalosporin cla 	
Warnings/Precautions	 Decreased efficacy in patients with baseline CrCl between 30-50 ml/min. Monitor CrCl at least daily and adjust dose accordingly. 	
	 Hypersensitivity reactions. Cross reactivity may occur in patients with a history of penicillin allergy. 	
	Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea.	
	 Seizures or neurologic events may occur in patients with renal impairmen 	ıt.
	Appropriate dose adjustment is required.	
	Development of drug-resistant bacteria.	

Safety Considerations

The safety assessment is based upon data from two Phase 2 trials, one for cIAI and one for cUTI. Combined, these trials evaluated 169 patients treated with ceftazidime/avibactam and 169 patients treated with a comparator agent (meropenem or imipenem/cilastatin). FDA approval relied in part on the Agency's finding of safety and effectiveness for the ceftazidime component of ceftazidime/avibactam.

Adverse Reactions

Common adverse reactions	Incidence ≥5%: vomiting, nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, dizziness, anxiety, increased alkaline phosphatase, increased alanine aminotransferase
Death/Serious adverse reactions	Data from cIAI Phase 3 trial: Mortality: Death occurred in 2.5% (13/529) of patients who received ceftazidime/avibactam and metronidazole compared to 1.5% (8/529) of patients who received meropenem. In patients with moderate renal impairment (CrCl 30-50ml/min), death occurred in 25.8% (8/31) of patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam and metronidazole compared to 8.6% (3/35) of patients receiving meropenem. It was noted that patients in this subpopulation received a lower than recommended dose. Cause of death varied and contributing factors included progression of underlying infection, delayed surgical intervention, and pathogens unlikely to respond to study therapy.
	<u>Data from cIAI Phase 2 trial:</u> Serious adverse events: Serious adverse events occurred in 8.9% of patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam and metronidazole compared to 10.8% patients receiving meropenem
	<u>Data from cUTI Phase 2 trial</u> : Serious adverse events: Serious adverse events occurred in 8.8% of patients receiving ceftazidime/avibactam compared to 3.0% of patients receiving imipenem/cilastatin
Discontinuations due to adverse reactions	cIAI Phase 2 Trial: 5.9% vs. 3.9% in the comparator group. The most common adverse reaction leading to discontinuation of ceftazidime/avibactam was skin

Updated Sep 2015 DRAFT

Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet

and subcutaneous tissue disorders.

cUTI Phase 2 Trial: 2.9% vs. 0% in comparator group. Reasons for discontinuation of ceftazidime/avibactam included accidental overdose and atrial fibrillation

Drug Interactions

Drug-Drug Interactions

- No significant drug-drug interactions have been observed or are anticipated between ceftazidime/avibactam and the substrates, inhibitors, or inducers of cytochrome P450 enzymes.
- Avibactam is a known substrate of OAT1 and OAT3 transporters. Co-administration with the OAT inhibitor
 probenecid has been shown decrease the elimination of avibactam by 56-70% in vitro. The clinical implication
 of this drug interaction is unknown at this time; however, co-administration with probenecid is not
 recommended.

Drug-Lab Interactions

• Ceftazidime may cause a false positive reaction for glucose in the urine.

Risk Evaluation As of 11/09/2015					
As 01 11/09/2013	Comments				
Sentinel event advisories	• None				
Y 1 17 / 1 17	Mark M	I + ·	T	TG) (D	
Look-alike/sound-alike error	NME Drug Name	Lexi-	First	ISMP	Clinical Judgement
potentials		Comp	Databank		
	Ceftazidime/avibactam	None	TBD	None	Ceftazidime
					Ceftolozane/tazobactam
					Cefazolin
					Ceftaroline
	Avycaz	None	TBD	None	Avandia
					Avinza

Other Considerations

Postmarketing requirements for adults²:

- Conduct a five-year, prospective study after the introduction of ceftazidime/avibactam to determine if decreased susceptibility is occurring in the target population of bacteria that are in the approved labeling.
- Conduct or submit data from the Phase 3 trial in cIAI to evaluate the pharmacokinetics, safety, and clinical outcomes of ceftazidime/avibactam (dose adjusted for renal function) in patients with baseline CrCl < 50ml/min.

Microbiology⁹:

Ceftazidime/avibactam is a combination of a third generation cephalosporin, ceftazidime, and a novel beta-lactamase inhibitor, avibactam. Avibactam differs from other beta-lactamases such as clavulanic acid and tazobactam due to its ability to inhibit Ambler Class A, C and some D beta-lactamases. These include beta-lactamases such as ESBLs (e.g., CTX-M), KPCs, and AmpCs. However, avibactam lacks inhibitory activity against metallo-beta-lactamases (Ambler Class B). Ceftazidime/avibactam has activity against Enterobacteriaceae and P. aeruginosa, including those isolates expressing the types of beta-lactamases listed above. Therefore, ceftazidime/avibactam possesses in vitro activity against certain carbapenem-resistant gram negatives such as Klebsiella pneumonia producing KPCs. Ceftazidime/avibactam does not exhibit activity against most clinically-relevant anaerobic bacteria.

ISMP Safety Alert¹⁰:

In September 2015, the FDA issued a safety alert regarding confusion about the dosing strength displayed on the labeling of the ceftazidime/avibactam vial. Ceftazidime/avibactam is dosed based on the sum of its active ingredients. However, original labeling for the product displayed the individual strengths of the active ingredients rather than the sum, resulting in a

Updated Sep 2015 DRAFT

potential for dosing errors. Labeling has since been revised to indicate that ceftazidime/avibactam 2.5 grams includes ceftazidime 2 grams and avibactam 0.5 grams.

Dosing and Administration¹

Ceftazidime/avibactam is 2.5 gram (ceftazidime 2 grams and avibactam 0.5 grams) administered every 8 hours by intravenous infusion over 2 hours in adults with normal renal function (CrCl greater than 50 mL/min). For the treatment of cIAI, metronidazole should be given concurrently. The indicated duration of therapy is 5 to 14 days for cIAI and 7 to 14 days for cUTI.

Dose adjustments in patients with renal impairment

Dose adjustments in patients with renar impairment	
Estimated Creatinine Clearance (mL/min)	Recommended Dose
31 to 50	1.25grams (ceftazidime 1 gram and avibactam 0.25
	grams) every 8 hours
16 to 30	0.94grams (ceftazidime 0.75 grams and avibactam 0.19
	grams) every 12 hours
6 to 15	0.94grams (ceftazidime 0.75 gram and avibactam 0.19
	grams) every 24 hours
Less than or equal to 5	0.94grams (ceftazidime 0.75 gram and avibactam 0.19
	grams) every 48 hours
Special Populations (Adults) ¹	

	grams) every 48 nours
Special Populations (Adults) ¹	
	Comments
Elderly	 No dose adjustment based on age is recommended Elderly patients are more likely to have baseline renal impairment, increasing the risk of adverse reactions. Monitoring renal function may be useful in the elderly population and dose adjustments should be based on renal function. See "Renal Impairment"
Pregnancy	 Pregnancy category B. Adequate studies in pregnant humans have not been conducted. Ceftazidime/avibactam should only be used in pregnancy if clearly needed.
Lactation	 Ceftazidime is excreted in human breast milk in low concentrations. While avibactam was shown to be excreted in the milk of rats, excretion in human breast milk is unknown. Exercise caution when administering to a nursing woman.
Females and Males of Reproductive Potential	No data identified
Renal Impairment	 Clearance is significantly decreased and serum half-life is significantly prolonged in patients with impaired renal function. Avibactam AUC increase 2.6-fold, 3.8-fold, and 7-fold in patients with mild, moderate, and severe renal impairment respectively. Dose adjustment is required for patients with moderately or severely impaired renal function (CrCl 50 mL/min or less). CrCl should be monitored at least daily in patients with changing renal function with dose adjustments made accordingly. Ceftazidime/avibactam should be administered after hemodialysis on dialysis days
Hepatic Impairment	 No dose adjustments based on hepatic function are recommended Ceftazidime pharmacokinetics is not affected by hepatic dysfunction Avibactam does not undergo hepatic metabolism, thus changes in clearance due to hepatic impairment is not expected
Pharmacogenetics/genomics	No data identified

Projected Place in Therapy^{1,2}

Updated Sep 2015 DRAFT

Updated version may be found at www.pbm.va.gov or PBM INTRAnet

Ceftazidime/avibactam is approved for use in the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infections (in combination with metronidazole) and complicated urinary tract infections, including pyelonephritis. Approval was primarily based on results of Phase 2 trials. Because of limited clinical and safety data available at the time of FDA review, ceftazidime/avibactam is approved only for patients who have limited or no alternative treatment options. Ceftazidime/avibactam has a role in the treatment of infections caused by certain multidrug-resistant gram negative organisms with limited treatment options (e.g. carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, without metallo-beta-lactamases). Susceptibility testing is highly recommended in these cases.

References

- Avycaz [package insert]. Forest Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cincinnati, OH; September 2015. http://pi.actavis.com/data_stream.asp?product_group=1957&p=pi&language=E. Accessed November 2, 2015.
- Avycaz. Drugs@FDA. Food and Drug Administration Web site. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm?fuseaction=Search.DrugDetails, Accessed November 2, 2015.
- Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, et al. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Surg Infect. 2010;11(1):79-
- Lucasti C, Popescu I, Ramesh MK, Lipka J, Sable C. Comparative study of the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infection sin hospitalized adults: results of a randomized, double-blind, Phase II trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013; 67:1183-1192.
- Mazuski JE, Gasink L, Armstrong J, et al. Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem for the treatment of complicated intraabdominal infection – results from a Phase III program. Poster session presented at: the 18th Making a Difference in Infectious Disease (MAD-ID) Annual Meeting; 2015 May 7-9; Orlando, FL.
- Vazquez JA, Patzan LDG, Stricklin D. Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam versus imipenem-cilastatin in the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections, including acute pyelonephritis, in hospitalized adults: results of a prospective, investigator-blinded, randomized study. Current Medical Research and Opionion 2012; 28(12): 1921-1931.
- Carmell Y, Armstrong J, Laud PJ. Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam and best available therapy in the treatment of ceftazidime-resistant infections – results from a phase III study. Poster session presented at: 25th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease; 2015 Apr 25-28; Copenhagen, Denmark.
- AstraZeneca. A study comparing ceftazidime-aviabactam versus meropenem in hospitalized adults with nosocominal pneumonia. In: ClinicalTrials.gov, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01808092 NLM Identifier: NCT01808092
- Castaheira M, Mills JC, Costello SE, et al. Ceftazidime-avibactam activity tested against Enterobacteriaceae isolates from U.S. Hospitals (2011 to 2013) and characterization of B-Lactase-Producing Strains. Amtimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59(6):3509-
- 10. FDA Safety Alert. Avycaz (ceftazidime and avibatam): Drug Safety Communication dose confusion and medication errors. Posted 09/22/2015. https://www.ismp.org/tools/fdasafetyalerts.asp

Prepared November 2015: Rohini Davé, Pharm.D. Contact person: Melinda Neuhauser, Pharm.D., MPH; VA PBM Services

Updated Sep 2015 DRAFT

Appendix A: GRADEing the Evidence

Designations of Quality

Quality of evidence designation Description

High Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-

conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes (2 consistent, higher-quality randomized controlled trials or multiple, consistent observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing large

effects).

Moderate Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes.

> but the number, quality, size, or consistency of included studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes (1 higher-quality trial with > 100 participants; 2 higher-quality trials with some inconsistency; 2 consistent, lower-quality trials; or multiple, consistent

observational studies with no significant methodological flaws showing at least moderate effects) limits the strength of the

evidence.

Evidence is insufficient to assess effects on health outcomes Low

> because of limited number or power of studies, large and unexplained inconsistency between higher-quality studies, important flaws in study design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes.

Please refer to Qaseem A, et al. The development of clinical practice guidelines and guidance statements of the American College of Physicians: Summary of Methods. Ann Intern Med 2010;153:194-199.

Updated July 2014 DRAFT